

August 30, 2004

Mark Hardt
Connie Landis

Randall Gloege
Michael Dennis

It was also noted that faculty members should be given due process, a chance to state their case for the Gen Ed Committee.

It was cited that courses cannot be removed from Academic Foundations unless it is a catalog year.

It was noted that if a course is under probation, the department will be given a year to make changes. The results of an evaluation will be received by the Gen Ed Committee after the end of a semester. A department would then receive a "warning" for a course, and the department would have one year starting from the date of that warning.

It was further noted that there has to be a specified time that the assessment tool is distributed to students or instructors. It must be at or near the end of the semester.

- Motion by Randall Gloege, seconded by Michael Dennis to approve the following resolution:

The learning outcomes (requested by Northwest) are stated in the General Education Committee Proposal (specifically, page 10). Those outcomes will be assessed using the matrix through a faculty self-assessment and student evaluations for use in the quality control of Gen Ed/Academic Foundations courses. Courses that fail to meet their stated objectives will be given a warning, and then put on probation for one to two years before action is taken (depending on the catalog schedule). It is the department's responsibility to remeliorate the course, and that department has the right to defend the course to this Committee.

- Resolution approved.

E. General Education/Academic Foundations Proposal

It was cited that the Global Skills category needs to be clarified as to which kinds of courses students will have to take. Subcategories will have to be added to the category list.

F. Double Counting