## General Education Committee $n \ \ \, \ \, \text{MP LNWDhDt} \ \ \, \text{DC 0.0000920 to} \ \, \text{to} \ \, \text{TMP EV} \ \, \text{TM 0 0 1 9.028 Tm0 g0} \ \, \text{F} \ \, \text{TM 0 g0} \ \, \text$

## September 13, 2011

Present: Bernie Quetchenbach Melinda Tilton

Kurt ToenjesMike HavensMatt RedingerTomPRegeleMark FendersonPatricia NicholsElizabeth FullonTyRee Jenks

Becky Lyons (ex-

B. Reworking/Rewording of MSUB Outcomes

It was cited that there is a problem with the course if almost all of the faculty who taught it last year did not teach it again this year. Perhaps getting back to our discussion of defining Gen Ed will help us decide if the freshman seminar belongs in Gen Ed. The problem is, Gen Ed is ill-defined everywhere. It was noted that unless faculty do something with the course, through this Committee, the content will not change. If the course is required of all freshmen, who will control it? It was cited that the whole freshman seminar was an idea of the former Chancellor. We need to talk to our current Chancellor and get his thoughts on whether the course will continue, who will pay for it, and whether it will eventually be required of all freshman students. Dr. Redinger stated that he would write to the Chancellor and ask him about these issues. Therefore, the next meeting will tentatively be set for Tuesday, September 27, to meet with Chancellor Groseth.

The meeting adjourned at 4:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, Rita J. Rabe Meduna.